1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY 7 COMMISSION, CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01369-RAJ-BAT 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' 9 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE and, OVERLENGTH OPPOSITION TO 10 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR** MARIA CRUZ CONTRERAS and SOFIA SUMMARY JUDGMENT VERA RODRIGUEZ. 11 Plaintiff-Intervenors, 12 v. 13 GIPHX10 LLC, d/b/a HAWTHORN 14 SUITES BY WYNDHAM, JAFFER, INC., 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and Plaintiff-Intervenors Maria 17 Cruz Contreras and Sofia Vera Rodriguez (collectively "Plaintiffs") request leave to file an 18 overlength opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Dkt. 60. The Court finds 19 good cause exists for the requested overlength brief because Plaintiffs will be filing a single joint 20 brief rather than separate opposition briefs and an enlargement of the page limitation will allow 21 Plaintiffs to fully address the legal issues, the factual background relevant to Plaintiff-22 Intervenors' claims, and nature of relief sought by Plaintiff EEOC. 23 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Overlength Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 60) is GRANTED; pursuant to LCR 7(f)(4), Defendants' reply brief shall not exceed one-half the total length of the brief filed in opposition. DATED this 9th day of June, 2022. BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2